## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

MAY 0 4 2005

SCENTIFICATIANTA, INC.

PEGAL DEPARTMENT

## From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PC.

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.
Intellectual Property Department
Attn. Lafferty, Wm. Brook
5030 Sugarloaf Parkway
Lawrenceville, GA 30044
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

To:

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

Deadline = 7/2/05

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02/05/2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference F-8149-PC FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below International application No. PCT/US2005/001812 International filing date (day/month/year) 20/01/2005

Applicant SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.

1. [	The Auth	applic	ant is he	reby no n estab	otified that the olished and a	∍ internatio re transmit	onal search tted herev	ch report with.	and the	e writt	en opin	on of th	e Intern	ational	Searchin	g
	The	applic	ant is en: The tin	ititled, it se limit	nd statemen f he so wishe for filling such Search Repor	is, to amen h amendm	nd the cla ents is no	ims of th ormally 2	month	s from	the dat	e of trai	nsmittal	of the	t.	
	Who	ere? i	Directly t	o the	International	l Bureau of a 20, Swit	f WIPO, 3 zerland, l	34 chemi Fascimile	in des C e No.: (4	Colomi 41-22	bettes !) 740.1	1.35				
	For	more	detailed	instru	ctions, see t	the notes c	on the acc	company	ring she	et.						
2. [	The Artic	applic	cant is he 2)(a) to t	reby no	otified that no	internatio	onal sear	ch report internati	will be	estab earchi	olished a ng Auth	nd that ority are	the de-	claration	n under erewith.	
3. [	Wit	rega	ird to the	prote	st against pa	ayment of	(an) addi	itional fee	e(s) und	der Ru	ile 40.2,	the app	olicant is	s notifie	d that:	
		appl	icant's re	equest t	with the deci	e texts of b	oth the p	rotest an	id the di	ecisio	n thereo	on to the	design	iated O	mices.	
		no d	lecision t	as bee	n made yet c	on the prote	est; the a	applicant	will be r	notifie	d as so	on as a	decisio	n is mad	de.	
4. F	Reminde	rs														
l a	nternatio applicatio	nal Bu n, or c	ireau. If to	the apporting	8 months fro licant wishes lim, must rea chnical prepa	to avoid o ch the Inte	or postpor ernational	ne public I Bureau	ation, a as prov	i notice vided i	e of with	idrawal	of the ii	nternati	onai	ły,
ì	nternatio nternatio	nal Bu nal pre	ıreau. Tr eliminary	e Interi examii	ments on an national Bure nation report piration of 30	au will sen has been	nd a copy or is to be	/ of such e establis	comme shed. Ti	ents to	all des	gnated	Offices	uniess	an	
6	examinat date (in s	ion mu ome C	ust be file Offices ev	ed if the ven late	ority date, but applicant wi er); otherwise ohase before	shes to po , the applic	stpone the	ne entry i t, <b>within</b>	nto the	nation	nal phas	e until 3	su mon	ins no	m me pro	my
	n respec	t of otl	her desiç	gnated (	Offices, the ti	me limit of	f 30 mon	ths (or l	ater) wi	ill appl	y even	f no de	mand is	filed w	ithin 19	
	See the	Annex	to Form	PCT/IP	3/301 and, for	details ab	out the a	applicable	e time li	mits. (	Office b	/ Office	see the	e PCT	Applicant	s

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

NL Tel

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk

Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Dana Schalinatus

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.					
F-8149-PC	ACTION		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/	year)	(Earliest) Phonty Date (day/month/year)					
PCT/US2005/001812	20/01/2005		21/01/2004					
Applicant	Applicant							
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.								
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searc Insmitted to the International Bureau.	hing Auth	nority and is transmitted to the applicant					
This International Search Report consists	of a total of she	ets.						
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cit	ed in this	report.					
language in which it was filed, unli	ess otherwise indicated under this ite	m.	sis of the international application in the ation of the international application furnished to					
this Authority (Rul	le 23.1(b)).							
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.					
2. X Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box II).							
3. Unity of invention is lace	king (see Box III).							
4. With regard to the <b>title</b> ,								
X the text is approved as su	ibmitted by the applicant.							
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:								
5. With regard to the abstract,								
X the text is approved as su	•							
the text has been establis may, within one month from	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by thom the date of mailing of this internat	iis Authori ional sear	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant rch report, submit comments to this Authority.					
6. With regard to the <b>drawings</b> ,	and the state of t	via C						
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be p		vo. <u>b</u>						
X as suggested by the	the applicant. is Authority, because the applicant fa	iled to su	ggest a figure.					
<u></u>	is Authority, because this figure bette							
,	pe published with the abstract.							

## INTER! IONAL SEARCH REPORT

Ints donal Application No PCT/US2005/001812

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G09G5/00 H04N17/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 GO9G HO4N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Χ	EP 0 896 318 A (COMPAQ COMPUTER CORPORATION) 10 February 1999 (1999-02-10)	1,2,4-8, 13,14, 17-19
Υ	abstract paragraph '0001! - paragraph '0010!	3,9,12, 19
	paragraph '0017! - paragraph '0018! paragraph '0026! - paragraph '0027! paragraph '0033!	
	paragraph '0041! - paragraph '0043! paragraph '0046! - paragraph '0047! paragraph '0051! - paragraph '0052!	
	paragraphs '0056!, '0067!	
	paragraph '0072! - paragraph '0078! paragraphs '0084!, '0090!, '0097! figures 1,5-9 tables 1-4,7-13	

Further decuments are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in annex.
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed	<ul> <li>'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention.</li> <li>'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone.</li> <li>'Y' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</li> <li>'&amp;' document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
13 April 2005	02/05/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Nt 2280 HV Bijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Schreib, F

5

# INTERN IONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte. Jonal Application No PCT/US2005/001812

	TO DE DE FUENT	PC1/032005/001612
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, or the recevant passages	
Y	US 2003/126425 A1 (YANG STEPHEN ET AL) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03) abstract	3
Y	KEVIN: "Change Screen Resolution in Windows" 'Online! 26 October 2002 (2002-10-26), XP002324036 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.tacktech.com/display.cfm?tt id=207> 'retrieved on 2005-04-11! page 2	9,12,19
Υ	STEFAN BARTH, JÖRG DIPPEL ET ALT.: "Konfiguration SuSE LINUX 7.2" 2001, SUSE GMBH, XP002324319 page 143 - page 148	1-9, 12-14, 17-19
Y	LEAH CUNNINGHAM, KARL EICHWALDER ET ALT.: "SuSE Linux 7.2 System— und Referenz-Handbuch" 2001, SUSE GMBH, XP002324320 page 132 — page 135 page 138 — page 148 figures 5.1,5.2,5.6,5.7	1-9, 12-14, 17-19
Y	VESA: "VESA Plug and Display Standard Version 1.0" VESA, 11 June 1997 (1997-06-11), ✓ XP002123075 page 13 - page 21 page 23 page 86 page 94 - page 96	1-9, 12-14, 17-19
Y	EP 1 111 572 A (EIZO NANAO CORPORATION) 27 June 2001 (2001-06-27)  abstract paragraph '0002! - paragraph '0010! paragraph '0013! paragraph '0015!	1-9, 12-14, 17-19

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 10,11,15,16

Claim 11 is dependent on itself. Therefore the scope of the claim is not clear. Hence claim 11 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT. As claim 10 depends on claim 11 also the scope of claim 10 is not clear and does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT. Claim 15 depends on claim 20 and claim 16 depends on claim 21. Claims 20 and 21 do not exist. Therefore also claims 15 and 16 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

International application No. PCT/US2005/001812

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 10,11,15,16 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTERN IONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Inte, ...ional Application No PCT/US2005/001812

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0896318	A	10-02-1999	US EP JP	6314479 B1 0896318 A2 11161460 A	06-11-2001 10-02-1999 18-06-1999
US 2003126425	A1	03-07-2003	NON		
EP 1111572	Α	27-06-2001	JP JP EP US	3504202 B2 2001175230 A 1111572 A2 2001004257 A1	08-03-2004 29-06-2001 27-06-2001 21-06-2001

## **HATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2005/001812 20.01.2005 21.01.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G09G5/00, H04N17/02 Applicant SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial 🖾 Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer

Schreib, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7114

European Patent Office

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001812

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	egard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in aguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	le	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage —, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	r C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	onal comments:
	Box	No. II Priority
1.	r	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority oes not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where equired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the ssumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2.	ŧ	his opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim as been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Addit	onal observations, if necessary:

Box No. III Non-establishmen applicability	t of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial						
The questions whether the claim obvious), or to be industrially app	ed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non licable have not been examined in respect of:						
☐ the entire international applic	the entire international application,						
☐ claims Nos. 10,11,15,16	claims Nos. 10,11,15,16						
because:							
the said international applications not require an international	tion, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which onal preliminary examination (specify):						
the description, claims or drage so unclear that no mean	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 10,11,15,16 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
see separate sheet							
the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	os, are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion						
☐ no international search repo	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
the nucleotide and/or amino C of the Administrative Instr	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
the written form	☐ has not been furnished						
	☐ does not comply with the standard						
the computer readable form	☐ has not been furnished						
	☐ does not comply with the standard						
the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical	leotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do at requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
Caa canarata cheet for furth	er details						

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,9,12,17,18

No: Claims

1,2,4-8,14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9,12-14,17-19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9,12-14,17-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III.

1. Claim 11 is dependent on itself. Therefore the scope of the claim is not clear. Hence claim 11 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT. As claim 10 depends on claim 11 also the scope of claim 10 is not clear and does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT. Claim 15 depends on claim 20 and claim 16 depends on claim 21. Claims 20 and 21 do not exist. Therefore also claims 15 and 16 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

#### Re Item V.

- 2. Reference is made to the following document:
  - D1: EP 0 896 318 A (COMPAQ COMPUTER CORPORATION) 10 February 1999 (1999-02-10)
  - D2: US 2003/126425 A1 (YANG STEPHEN ET AL) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03)
  - D3: KEVIN: "Change Screen Resolution in Windows"[Online] 26 October 2002 (2002-10-26), XP002324036 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.tacktech.com/display.cfm?tt id=207> [retrieved on 2005-04-11]
- 3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

  Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
  - A method for determining the characteristics of a display device coupled to a network client capable of receiving TV signals (see paragraphs 41 and 42 and Fig. 6: A PC like the host computer in Fig. 6 is a network client capable of receiving TV signals as the PC of Fig. 6 has A/V inputs. The PC determines via the DDC-2B standard the characteristics of the connected display device ), the network client device having video and audio output capabilities (see paragraph 43 and Fig. 6: The PC has audio output capabilities using the USB bus. The PC has an analog video output via link 48 in Fig. 6 and a

digital video output via link 46 in Fig. 6), said method comprising the steps of:

driving a display device with a first video output signal formatted according to a first video interface specification (see paragraphs 72, 73 and Fig. 8: The PC is drives via a standard VGA connect the display by a RGB signal);

responsive to driving the display device, soliciting user input based on information included in the first video output signal (see paragraphs 46, 76 and Table 7, 8, 9: According to the controls supported by the monitor the user can adjust by a user interface display settings like brightness, contrast vertical position, vertical size etc. The user interface is transmitted via the first video output signal);

determining a characteristic of the display device based on the user input (see paragraphs 46, 76 and Table 9: The colour temperature of the display device is determined based on user input)

driving the display device according to the determined characteristic (see paragraph 56: The PC drives the display according to the setting selected and configured by the user interface)

- 4. Dependent claims 2-18 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 4.1 The subject-matter of claim 2 is not new document D1 discloses in paragraph 56 and Table 9 the adjustment of the colour temperature of the display by the user which is colorimetry.
- 4.2 The subject-matter of claim 3 is not inventive as the D1 discloses in Fig 6 a PC which transmits with the first video output signal also an audio output signal. It is state of the

art for a person skilled in the art to use also the voice output of a PC to issue instructions to the user especially when there is the danger that the display does not work. D2 gives an example for using a voice instruction technology.

- 4.3 The subject-matter of claim 4 is not new as D1 discloses in paragraph 78 the transmission of graphics and video data.
- 4.4 The subject-matter of claim 5 is not new as the PC 14 of D1 in Fig. 6 receives TV signals and transmits them in a proper format to the display.
- 4.5 The subject-matter of claim 6 is not new as the PC=network client of D1 transmits its video signal according to the VESA standard which is an interface specification.
- 4.6 The subject-matter of claims 7 and 8 is not new as the PC determines by reading the EDID file of the display (see D1, paragraph 46) how to drive the display to present a legible non distorted picture.
- 4.7 The subject-matter of claim 9 and 12 is not inventive. It is known to the person skilled in the art that a PC like unit 14 of D1, Fig. 6 in most cases uses Microsoft Windows as operating system. When changing the screen resolution in Windows the display is driven with a video signal having a second video format. If there is no user input after 15 seconds the PC returns to the first video signal (see D3, especially, page 2 second "Display properties" message). By choosing this menu 1 on page 2 of D3 under Windows a request for discovery of the characteristics is sent and received.
- 4.8 The subject-matter of claim 13 is not inventive as D1 discloses in paragraph 33 the usage of different output ports for analog and digital displays. Beside this D3 discloses on page 3 the cycling through different video formats by choosing different colour modes.
- 4.9 The subject-matter of claim 14 is not new because D1 discloses on page 13, Table 9 a special TV mode which drives the display device according to at least one parameter of a TV signal.

- 4.10 Dependent claims 17 and 18 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve an inventive step since these claims merely define an association of known features functioning in their normal way, and, in combination, not producing any non-obvious working interrelationship.
- 5. The subject-matter of independent claim 19 is a combination of the subject-matter of claims 2 and 13. Therefore claim 19 is not inventive for the reasons explained in sections 3, 4.1 and 4.8 of this communication.

## Re Item VIII.

6. Although method claims 1 and 19 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.